

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/670,170	09/23/2003	Michael J. Cullen	203-0150	2233
36865 7590 08/01/2007 ALLEMAN HALL MCCOY RUSSELL & TUTTLE, LLP 806 S.W. BROADWAY, SUITE 600			EXAMINER	
			ARGENBRIGHT, TONY MICHAEL	
PORTLAND, OR 97205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3747	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	10/670,170	CULLEN, MICHAEL J.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	T. M. Argenbright	3747	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 J 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 7,8 and 13-20 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 and 9-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ijected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	eate	

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

It does not state that the person making the oath or declaration acknowledges the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to *patentability* as defined in 37 CFR 1.56.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticapated by Bidner et al (5,617,829). Bidner et al discloses determining the duration of a clean period timer to enable reactivation of a cylinder which was deactivated during a variable displacement operation in column 4, lines 40-58. The duration is disclosed to be a predetermined number of crankshaft revolutions, which is equivalent to engine cycles, which are considered engine events.

Claims 1-4, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Denz et al (5,839,409). In column 1, line 51, through column 2, line 42, Denz et al discloses determining a cylinder reactivation additional fuel quantity duration after fuel cut for vehicle deceleration. Regarding claims 9 and 10, during deceleration, requested torque is zero or negative with the vehicle speed above zero.

Application/Control Number: 10/670,170

Art Unit: 3747

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Denz et al (5,839,409) in view of Hasegawa et al. Denz et al does not disclose how vehicle deceleration or coasting is determined. Hasegawa et al teaches detection of deceleration using rate of change of vehicle speed in column 10, lines 1-6. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use rate of change of vehicle speed to determine deceleration of the vehicle in the system of Denz et al, since it is a method known in the art as evidenced by Hasegawa et al.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Denz et al (5,839,409) in view of Gonzales, Jr. et al (5,337,715). Denz et al does not disclose deactivation and reactivation of all cylinders together. Gonzales, Jr. et al teaches deactivating all cylinders during deceleration or coasting. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Denz et al to shut off fuel to all cylinders during a deceleration event, as taught by Gonzales, Jr. et al, to further improve fuel economy and emissions.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7, 8 and 13-20 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 19, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It is believed that the additional fuel duration of Denz et al and the duration

Application/Control Number: 10/670,170

Art Unit: 3747

ation/Control Number. 10/0/0, 1/

determined by the clean period timer of Bidner et al meet the broad, non-speed-related duration recited in claim 1. Of note, the duration of Denz et al is related to intake duct wall fuel film similarly to applicant's use of fuel puddle mass in Figure 7, step 410.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to T. M. Argenbright whose telephone number is 571-272-4837. The examiner can normally be reached M-Th 6:30am-3:00pm and alt. Fridays 6:30am-2:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen K. Cronin can be reached on 571-272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/670,170 Page 5

Art Unit: 3747

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

T. M. Argenbright Primary Examiner Art Unit 3747